

The Universe Was Created, By Chance, From Nothing

Category: Creation

Example A)

"...Disdaining the coward terrors of the slave of <u>fate</u>, to worship at the shrine his own hands have built; undismayed by <u>the empire</u> of chance... despite the tramping march of unconscious power."

Bertrand Russell

Example B)

"... I cannot look at the universe...as the result of blind chance."

Charles Darwin

Example C)

"Do they believe that anything in the universe happens without reason or without cause?"

Thomas Huxley (Darwin's bulldog)

Example D)

"Everything existing in the universe is the fruit of chance and necessity."

Democritus

Example E)

"My goal is simple. It is a complete understanding of the universe, why it is and why it exists at all."

Stephen Hawking

The careless and reckless use of "chance" is inexcusable. Eventually, it will undermine the work of good and disciplined scientists in all fields. Why the reckless usage by high school teachers, professors, and authors/writers/speakers? When your agenda is the propagation of Atheism and a materialistic worldview rather than education, you will sacrifice anything, including scientific integrity.

Myth is defined as

- A traditional story that explains some natural or social phenomenon that involves supernatural events.
- A widely held but false belief.

<u>Irrational ideas are becoming a mainstay of the new Atheists.</u> Violating the laws of logic is no longer a crime in the educational community. How do I know this? The educational community could police itself, but it doesn't. Silence is complicity.

- Self-creation is irrational
- Something from nothing is irrational
- Uncaused effects are irrational
- That chance causes anything is irrational

My task is a relatively easy one, I must prove to you that "chance" has no causal power, while, at the same time proving that something from nothing is something, is the best a desperate Atheist can conjure - and, that is sad.

Mythology has two enemies: logic and empirical data. It is sad that the pillars of scientific inquiry have now become the purveyors of Atheist/Materialist mythology.

Many Atheists recoil when I state this. They cry out, "Bill, most Materialists don't push these irrational ideas."

I remind them of what I said above, silence is complicity. The burden is on the scientific community to scorn the vocal Atheists who say and publish irrational ideas – and, I assure you that the normal college Atheist wannabe nearly always parrots the vocal propagandist's tripe concerning chance and something from nothing. Remember, you are engaging folks that are like you, and those folks will trot out the chance and something from nothing myths.



The Chance Myth

Let's discuss "chance" as simply as we can. What is the definition of chance?

Chance is defined as

The probability of anything happening

<u>Chance "describes" the probability of something happening</u>. In other words, when I ask you what the probability of throwing 2 sixes is on the next throw of the dice at a craps table, you should answer 2.78%. To state it as one would while leaning on the rail of a craps table, an experienced, old Baptist might say, "2 sixes will come up on any throw of the dice just under 3% of the time."

We are now talking about the mathematical probability of 2 sixes coming up on the very next throw of the dice. We commonly dismiss probability from the discussion and ask instead, "What is the chance 2 sixes will come up on the next throw of the dice?" The old Baptist replies, "2 sixes will come up on any throw of the dice just under 3% of the time." That's Baptist talk about probability. All is well.

Let me ask you:

- What influence does chance have on the dice?
- What effect does chance have on anything?
- What chance is there that chance has the power to do anything?
- What influence does chance have on anything?

The answers?

- Chance has no influence on the dice.
- Chance has no effect on anything.
- Chance has no power to do anything.
- Chance has no influence on anything.

Bill, what is the chance that chance could cause, effect, or influence anything? Not a chance.

So, why is chance an issue then? It's a problem by the insertion or addition of a tiny word –

BY

The Atheist magician's magic wand

"Bill, even you must agree that some things happen by chance? I mean we met by chance, right?"

Ahh, the magic wand was waved (we added "BY"), and it seems that chance gained power! "By" added makes it appear that "chance" now affects and influences. It is an illusion Atheism uses – it will always remain an illusion. I like parlor tricks, but I prefer more sophisticated ones.

Chance is nothing. Chance has no being.

A baseball bat <u>is.</u> A baseball bat has <u>being.</u> If I hit your head with my baseball bat, there is a chance (in this case a high probability) of the bat causing a knot on your head. Because a bat <u>is</u>, <u>it can cause</u> the knot on your head.

The chances, the mathematical probabilities, were high that the bat would <u>cause</u> damage to your head, and the high probability played out. Want me to hit you in the head again with my bat to see if the chance of another cranium knot have diminished? No? Good call. The chance of another cranium knot is high (the mathematical probability of another cranium knot is high) or I might say, "The odds on another cranium knot are high."

Chance is only a descriptive word we use when discussing the probability of anything happening. Chance has no power. It cannot influence anything.

Chance does not influence dice, nor does it influence our meeting each other.

Beware of Atheist magicians waving "by" over any top hat or universe.

A non-thing, like chance, will never cause something.

The Something From Nothing Myth

What about something from nothing?



Aristotle

Atheists/Naturalists simply abuse nothing. They twist it, pulverize it, and disfigure it. Nothing would, at first glance, appear to be the most placid of concepts. Looks to me like that held true for thousands of years. Then after Charlie Darwin wrote On the Origin of Species by Natural Selection in 1859, desperado Atheists started torturing poor old "nothing". To his credit, old Charlie Darwin did not himself assault "nothing". Nope, Charlie said he found the beginning of things to be insoluble. Charlie left the assaulting to his disciples. I can't find one other gang anywhere today that's assaulting "nothing" in the slightest except for Darwin's disciples. Ever wish that Darwin's disciples all wore black hospital gowns? You know, sort of in the style of motorcycle gangs? Then, they'd move on to cool nicknames. Since the determined Atheists you meet generally aren't long on either wit or wisdom, I'll help them a bit with the nicknames.

• Sam Harris – Miyagi Me

Sam is very long on mumbo jumbo as was Mr. Miyagi in the Karate Kid.

• Richard Dawkins – Big-talk Ricky


The man deserves his due – when it comes to blather, pure blather, no one is fit to hold his cape.

• Stephen Hawking - Magic Man

I'd hang his black hospital robe on the wall across from the clubhouse bar. Magic man was able to sell millions of minions his multiverse malarkey, but he was slick. Likely he intended to sell sets of multiverse trading cards; maybe even intended to sell the rights to universes that existed only in his mind! Pure genius.

• Christopher Hitchens – The 'Screamin' Me-me

Self-centeredness is foundational to Atheist thought and no one was truer to this core belief than the old blowhard himself. I stand in awe



and women into hopeless sluggards like themselves.

Look, if Atheists steal this black robe/gown idea from me so that they achieve a greater sense of group identity, I'm all for it. It would enhance the young atheist's experience. A group identity is a precious thing to folks who are mere mammals descended from ambitious pond scum who dedicate their meaningless, purposeless lives to making energetic, hopeful, selfless men

Enough of fun time, let's return to the torture of "nothing" by Darwin's disciples. These chaps let nothing stand in their way, not even logic.

ex nihilo nihil fit (out of nothing, nothing comes)

At first glance, this statement appears to be logical and unassailable. You have underestimated what stubborn men who excel at arrogance can do if they devote themselves to it.

Out of nothing, nothing comes appears to be a mighty poor starting point if you need to create an infinite number of universes. But, need often breeds ingenuity, and ingenuity is, as history teaches, the mother of upwards of ten thousand lies.

The first hit ordered by Atheism's desperate need to bring something out of nothing was on logic. Once again, when logic was murdered, the entire scientific community went silent, and I repeat, silence is complicity.

Christians alone rose to fight. The average Joe fell for the argument from authority. This easily understood logical fallacy is used often by Atheism's priests and practitioners. It works like this...

Atheist Elder: I'm a scientist. All scientists are brilliant. You, average Joe, are a dolt. All dolts are Neanderthal type stupid. Therefore, you should just believe that whatever I say is true. The "new logic" is no logic, got it?

The elders need even less time to make average Joe's son, the self-absorbed, and all-too-impressionable college student, to simply trust what the professor says. After all, you students already think you are smarter than your dads, so you are ripe to be told that you're correct, your old man is stupid. But, no worry, your trustworthy professor will direct you.



He will indoctrinate you, and as your teacher/professor, I will teach you that logic and reason needn't always rule the day.

Look, the magic required for chance to cause something must be employed by Atheists/Materialists here as well. Nothing is nothing – that means "no thing". It doesn't mean "a little something". That sleight of hand turns "no thing" into "some thing".

If you read books by Christian apologists, you will hear, and rightly so, about the law of non-contradiction. I prefer not to utilize the phrase because it confuses the average person, and the average person is the person you will be talking with.

I also refrain from discussing the notion that for something to come from nothing, it must in effect, create itself. It is absolutely true, but again, the idea is not easily digestible by most, so I prefer to leave it in my bag. If I find myself in a discussion with a professor type, I will feature it.

I wish to adhere to my plan to give you reasoning and argumentation that the average Sunday school class member can comprehend and employ. I may, at times, misjudge which is the better argument to use. If I do misjudge, it's my hope that your judgment will be tinged with grace.

In summation, allow me to revisit "chance".

"... I cannot look at the universe... as the result of blind chance."

Charles Darwin

As I said earlier, Darwin's disciples, not Darwin, are the logic-killers.

"What we call chance can only be the unknown cause of the known effect."

Voltaire

"Do they believe that anything in this universe happens without reason or without a cause?"

Thomas Huxley, Darwin's bulldog



Huxley was directly addressing those who were desperately trying to find chance in Darwinism, and he was a rabid Darwinist.

All three quotes above are by Atheists, but you should notice that all three lived before 1900. The modern Atheist knows little of the intellectual honestly exhibited by yesteryear's Atheists.

Credulity

It is perhaps time to deal with credulity.

Credulity is defined as

• The tendency to be too ready to believe something is real or true.

<u>Credulity, in Atheists or Christians, is merely blind faith.</u> As a Christian you will often be accused of credulity. It is a ruse. <u>Christianity has no stomach for blind faith. Today, only Atheism stoops to and calls for credulity</u>.

To believe that chance can cause anything is gross credulity. Yet, modern Atheism's priests demand credulity from their disciples.

Cause and Effect

This is a part, normally, of any discussion of something from nothing. Let's look at Thomas Huxley's quote and the Voltaire quote again, but this time, let's focus on cause and effect.

"Do they believe that anything in this universe happens without reason or without a cause?"

Thomas Huxley, Darwin's bulldog

What we call chance can only be the unknown cause of the known effect."

Voltaire

As I've explained elsewhere, the law of cause and effect is, **Every Effect has a Cause.**

Atheism, once more, by sleight of hand, twists the law into this. **Everything** has a Cause.

Why the substitution of "everything" for every effect?

The substitution, lame as it is, proves necessary when an Atheist propagandist blurts out, If God created everything, who created God? For a fuller treatment of this, please refer to discussion #1.

Look, it's obvious that chance causes nothing, and it is equally obvious that it is irrational to start with nothing and then attempt to heat it into something – turn your empty oven on to 350° for 4 hours. Shut it off and open the oven door. You will find heated air because it was there. If I'm wrong and a turkey dinner (overdone?) is found inside, email me, and I will drive to wherever it is you live and eat with you.

Folks, you are blessed to rest in the truth that your God spoke all into existence when there was nothing. Your God is the cause of the effect you see. I do love rational thinkers.

